

- Clark, A. (2003). Situational Analyses: Grounded Theory After The Postmodern Turn. *Symbolic Interaction, 26*(4), 553–576.
- Creswell, J. (u.å.). Grounded Theory Designs. I *Educational Research* (3. udg.).
- de Certeau, M. (1988). Chapter VII: Walking in the city. I *The practice of everyday life* (s. 91–111). Berkeley: Univ. of California Press.
- Dourish, P. (2004). A History of Interaction. I *Where the Action Is*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.
- Engeström, Y. (2007). Ekspansiv læring. I *Læringsteorier, 6 aktuelle forståelser* (s. 81–110). Roskilde Universitetsforlag.
- Hammersley, M., & Atkinson, P. (2007). Chapter 1: What is ethnography? I *Ethnography: principles and practice* (s. 1–19). London: Routledge.
- Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2009). *InterView. Introduktion til et håndværk*. København: Hans Reitzel.
- Latour, B. (1999). A Collective of Humans and Nonhumans. Following Daedalus's Labyrinth. I *Pandora's Hope* (s. 174–215). Harvard University Press.
- Rabardel, P., & Bourmaud, G. (2003). From computer to instrument system: a developmental perspective. *Interacting with Computers, 15*(5), 665–691. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-5438\(03\)00058-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-5438(03)00058-4)
- Randall, D., Harper, R., & Rouncefield, M. (2007). Ethnography and How to Do it. I *Fieldwork for Design - Theory and Practice*. London: Springer-Verlag.
- Rogers, Y., Sharp, H., & Preece, J. (2011). *Interaction design: beyond human-computer interaction* (3rd ed). Chichester, West Sussex, U.K: Wiley.
- Sutko, M., & de Souza e Silva, A. (2010). Location-aware mobile media and urban sociability. *New Media Society, 13*(5), 805–823.
- Weiser, M. (1991). The Computer for the 21st Century. I *Scientific American* (s. 94–104).

- Wenger, E. (2000). Communities of Practice and Social Learning Systems. *Organization*, 7(2), 225–246.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/135050840072002>
- boyd, danah, & Crawford, K. (2012). CRITICAL QUESTIONS FOR BIG DATA: Provocations for a cultural, technological, and scholarly phenomenon. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15(5), 662–679. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878>
- Howe, J. (2006). The Rise of Crowdsourcing. *Wired Magazine*, 14(6). Hentet fra [http://www.wired.com/wired/archive/14.06/crowds\\_pr.html](http://www.wired.com/wired/archive/14.06/crowds_pr.html)
- Kazai, G., Kamps, J., Koolen, M., & Milic-Frayling, N. (2011). *Crowdsourcing for Book Search Evaluation: Impact of HIT Design on Comparative System Ranking*. Microsoft Research & University of Amsterdam.
- Kuniavsky, M. (2003). *Observing the user experience: a practitioner's guide to user research*. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.
- Lancaster, F. W. (1998). Automatic Indexing Automatic Abstracting and Related Procedures. I *Indexing and Abstracting in Theory and Practice* (s. 251–265). Library Association.
- Lohr, S. (2012, februar 11). The Age of Big Data. *The New York Times*.
- The Karolinska Institutet Bibliometrics Project Group. (2008). *Bibliometrics*. Karolinska Institutet University Library.
- Wright, A. (2009). Our sentiments, exactly. *Communications of the ACM*, 52(4), 14.  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/1498765.1498772>
- Floridi, L. (2011). *The Philosophy of Information*. Oxford Scholarship Online.
- Morville, P., & Rosenfeld, L. (2007). *Information architecture for the World Wide Web* (3rd ed). Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly.
- Rogers, Y., Sharp, H., & Preece, J. (2011). *Interaction design: beyond human-computer interaction* (3rd ed). Chichester, West Sussex, U.K: Wiley.

- Dohn, N. B., & Johnsen, L. (2009). Hvad er web 2.0 og hvorfor bruge det til e-læring? I *E-læring på web 2.0*. Frederiksberg: Samfundslitteratur.
- Jones, A., & Issroff, K. (2007). Learning technologies: Affective and social issues in computer-supported collaborative learning. I *Contemporary perspectives in e-learning research. Themes, methods and impact on practice* (s. 190–202). London: Routledge.
- Orlikowski, W. J., & Gash, D. C. (1994). Technological frames: making sense of information technology in organizations. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, 12(2), 174–207.  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/196734.196745>
- Panke, S., Gaiser, B., & Werner B. (2007). Evaluation as Impetus for Innovations in Elearning – Applying Personas to the Design of Community Functions. *MERLOT Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 3(2), 179–190.
- Sorensen, E. K., Takle, E. S., & Moser, H. M. (2006). Knowledge Building Quality in Online Communities of Practice: Focusing on Learning Dialogue. *Imagining learning in the 21st century: the role of e-learning. Special Issue of Studies in Continuing Education (SCE)*, 28(3), 241–257.
- Stahl, G., Koschmann, T., & Suthers, D. (2006). Computer-supported collaborative learning: An historical perspective. I *Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences* (s. 409–426). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Thorhauge, A. M. (2012). Communication technologies in the study environment: institutional and personal media as a reflection of organisational structure. *MedieKultur: Journal of media and communication research*, 28(53), 22–36. <https://doi.org/10.7146/mediekultur.v28i53.7288>
- Bordens, K. S., & Abbott, B. B. (2011). *Research design and methods: a process approach* (Internat. ed., 8. ed). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Clarke, A. E. (2003). Situational Analyses: Grounded Theory Mapping After the Postmodern Turn. *Symbolic Interaction*, 26(4), 553–576. <https://doi.org/10.1525/si.2003.26.4.553>

Dix, A. (Red.). (2004). HCI in the software process. I *Human-computer interaction* (3rd ed, s. Kapitel 6).

Harlow, England ; New York: Pearson/Prentice-Hall.

Ejersbo, L. R., Engelhardt, R., Frølund, L., Hanghøj, T., Magnussen, R., & Misfeldt, M. (u.å.).

Balancing Product Design and Theoretical Insights. I *Handbook of design research methods in education* (s. 149–163).

Field. (u.å.). Questionnaire Design. Hentet fra

[https://www.moodle.aau.dk/pluginfile.php/376567/mod\\_resource/content/1/Questionnaire\\_Design\\_intro.pdf](https://www.moodle.aau.dk/pluginfile.php/376567/mod_resource/content/1/Questionnaire_Design_intro.pdf)

Foth, M., & Axup, J. (2006). Participatory Design and Action Research: Identical Twins or Synergetic

Pair? Præsenteret ved Participatory Design Conference (PDC), Trento, Italy.

Hearn, G. N., & Foth, M. (2005). Action Research in the Design of New Media and ICT Systems. I

*Topical Issues in Communications and Media Research* (s. 79–94). Nova Science.

Kuniavsky, M., Goodman, E., & Moed, A. (2012). *Observing the user experience: a practitioner's guide*

*to user research* (2nd ed). Amsterdam ; Boston: Morgan Kaufmann.

Moreira, M. E., Lester, M., & Holzner, S. (2010). *Agile for Dummies*. Wiley Publishing Inc.

Stahl, G. (u.å.). Contributions to a Theory of Collaboration. I *Group Cognition* (s. 227–243).

Suchman, L. (1995). Making work visible. *Communications of the ACM*, 38(9), 56-.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/223248.223263>

Timmer, J. (2007, september 12). Diluting the scientific method: Ars looks at homeopathy. Hentet 20.

november 2016, fra <http://arstechnica.com/science/2007/09/the-pseudoscience-behind-homeopathy/>